

Funding Allocation Process Fiscal Year 2019-2020 HIV Surveillance Program

The Office of AIDS (OA) will allocate \$6.67 million in Fiscal Year (FY) 2019-20 State General Fund to local health jurisdictions (LHJs) for local HIV Surveillance Programs. OA will use the FY 2016-17 formula, with updated data, for allocating these funds and will implement provisions to provide equity and stability of funding across all regions of California.

The allocation formula is based upon the following factors:

- New HIV/AIDS cases diagnosed 2013 – 2017; and
- Cumulative HIV (non AIDS cases) through December 31, 2017

No Case LHJs:

The following LHJ, with no reported HIV or AIDS cases during the periods indicated above, will not receive an allocation of HIV Surveillance Program funding: *Alpine*.

Funding Minimum:

OA will implement a minimum allocation of \$3,000 for the rest of the LHJs. The eight LHJs receiving the minimum allocation: *Colusa, Glenn, Mariposa, Mono, Plumas, Sierra, Tehama, and Trinity*.

OA will apply the following stabilization measures:

1. Funding Cap (CAP): OA will implement a funding cap, which is a **maximum** funding level placed on each LHJ, set at 106 percent of the FY 2016-17 allocation. The sixteen LHJs impacted by the funding cap: *Amador, Fresno, Imperial, Kern, Los Angeles, Merced, Pasadena, Placer, Riverside, Sacramento, San Benito, San Bernardino, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tulare and Yuba*.
2. Hold Harmless Provision:
OA will distribute funds to other LHJs so that the **minimum** funding level is 94 percent of the FY 2016-17 allocation. Twenty-seven LHJs are allocated funds due to the hold harmless provision.

Nine LHJs receive their unadjusted formula amount: *Alameda, El Dorado, Monterey, Napa, Orange, San Diego, San Joaquin, Shasta, and Ventura*.

FY 2019/20 HIV Surveillance Program Funding Allocation

County/City	FY 16/17 Allocation	FY 19/ 20 Unadjusted Allocation	Unadjusted Allocation % of FY 16/17 Allocation	FINAL FY 19/20 Adjusted Allocation	FINAL Adjusted Allocation % of Unadjusted	FINAL Adjusted Allocation % of FY 16/17 Allocation	Summary Action
Alameda	\$ 289,225	\$ 286,135	98.9%	\$ 285,286	99.7%	98.6%	Formula
Alpine	\$ -	\$ -	-	\$ -	-	-	No Case
Amador	\$ 5,675	\$ 6,464	113.9%	\$ 6,015	93.1%	106.0%	Cap
Berkeley	\$ 29,088	\$ 21,468	73.8%	\$ 27,342	127.4%	94.0%	HH
Butte	\$ 26,961	\$ 16,162	59.9%	\$ 25,343	156.8%	94.0%	HH
Calaveras	\$ 5,675	\$ 3,803	67.0%	\$ 5,334	140.3%	94.0%	HH
Colusa	\$ 3,000	\$ 932	31.1%	\$ 3,000	321.9%	100.0%	Minimum
Contra Costa	\$ 183,704	\$ 123,340	67.1%	\$ 172,681	140.0%	94.0%	HH
Del Norte	\$ 3,547	\$ 1,954	55.1%	\$ 3,334	170.6%	94.0%	HH
El Dorado	\$ 12,416	\$ 12,599	101.5%	\$ 12,561	99.7%	101.2%	Formula
Fresno	\$ 85,513	\$ 144,080	168.5%	\$ 90,643	62.9%	106.0%	Cap
Glenn	\$ 3,000	\$ 2,300	76.7%	\$ 3,000	130.4%	100.0%	Minimum
Humboldt	\$ 12,771	\$ 11,200	87.7%	\$ 12,004	107.2%	94.0%	HH
Imperial	\$ 18,107	\$ 25,860	142.8%	\$ 19,193	74.2%	106.0%	Cap
Inyo	\$ 4,966	\$ 3,353	67.5%	\$ 4,668	139.2%	94.0%	HH
Kern	\$ 115,905	\$ 123,994	107.0%	\$ 122,859	99.1%	106.0%	Cap
Kings	\$ 19,157	\$ 15,892	83.0%	\$ 18,007	113.3%	94.0%	HH
Lake	\$ 10,642	\$ 5,743	54.0%	\$ 10,003	174.2%	94.0%	HH
Lassen	\$ 5,675	\$ 811	14.3%	\$ 5,334	657.7%	94.0%	HH
Long Beach	\$ 258,580	\$ 181,323	70.1%	\$ 243,065	134.1%	94.0%	HH
Los Angeles	\$1,860,734	\$2,217,159	119.2%	\$ 1,972,378	89.0%	106.0%	Cap
Madera	\$ 17,028	\$ 9,742	57.2%	\$ 16,006	164.3%	94.0%	HH
Marin	\$ 54,631	\$ 33,255	60.9%	\$ 51,353	154.4%	94.0%	HH
Mariposa	\$ 3,000	\$ 841	28.0%	\$ 3,000	356.7%	100.0%	Minimum
Mendocino	\$ 14,189	\$ 7,261	51.2%	\$ 13,337	183.7%	94.0%	HH
Merced	\$ 17,028	\$ 22,462	131.9%	\$ 18,049	80.4%	106.0%	Cap
Modoc	\$ 3,000	\$ -	0.0%	\$ 2,820	-	94.0%	HH
Mono	\$ 3,000	\$ 706	23.5%	\$ 3,000	424.9%	100.0%	Minimum
Monterey	\$ 46,116	\$ 48,142	104.4%	\$ 47,999	99.7%	104.1%	Formula
Napa	\$ 13,203	\$ 13,290	100.7%	\$ 13,251	99.7%	100.4%	Formula
Nevada	\$ 7,095	\$ 5,201	73.3%	\$ 6,669	128.2%	94.0%	HH
Orange	\$ 422,925	\$ 426,768	100.9%	\$ 425,502	99.7%	100.6%	Formula
Pasadena	\$ 25,276	\$ 33,557	132.8%	\$ 26,792	79.8%	106.0%	Cap
Placer	\$ 14,189	\$ 19,063	134.4%	\$ 15,040	78.9%	106.0%	Cap
Plumas	\$ 3,000	\$ 496	16.5%	\$ 3,000	604.8%	100.0%	Minimum
Riverside	\$ 276,621	\$ 413,031	149.3%	\$ 293,218	71.0%	106.0%	Cap
Sacramento	\$ 205,912	\$ 227,691	110.6%	\$ 218,266	95.9%	106.0%	Cap
San Benito	\$ 3,000	\$ 4,420	147.3%	\$ 3,180	71.9%	106.0%	Cap
San Bernardino	\$ 241,746	\$ 271,540	112.3%	\$ 256,250	94.4%	106.0%	Cap
San Diego	\$ 691,677	\$ 662,735	95.8%	\$ 660,768	99.7%	95.5%	Formula
San Francisco	\$ 760,728	\$ 499,451	65.7%	\$ 715,084	143.2%	94.0%	HH
San Joaquin	\$ 80,906	\$ 83,728	103.5%	\$ 83,479	99.7%	103.2%	Formula
San Luis Obispo	\$ 35,474	\$ 23,799	67.1%	\$ 33,345	140.1%	94.0%	HH
San Mateo	\$ 95,356	\$ 80,688	84.6%	\$ 89,634	111.1%	94.0%	HH
Santa Barbara	\$ 36,892	\$ 32,023	86.8%	\$ 34,678	108.3%	94.0%	HH
Santa Clara	\$ 246,849	\$ 189,557	76.8%	\$ 232,038	122.4%	94.0%	HH
Santa Cruz	\$ 29,798	\$ 22,205	74.5%	\$ 28,010	126.1%	94.0%	HH
Shasta	\$ 14,189	\$ 14,027	98.9%	\$ 13,985	99.7%	98.6%	Formula
Sierra	\$ 3,000	\$ 180	6.0%	\$ 3,000	1666.7%	100.0%	Minimum
Siskiyou	\$ 4,966	\$ 4,029	81.1%	\$ 4,668	115.9%	94.0%	HH
Solano	\$ 67,295	\$ 56,814	84.4%	\$ 63,257	111.3%	94.0%	HH
Sonoma	\$ 78,755	\$ 45,536	57.8%	\$ 74,029	162.6%	94.0%	HH
Stanislaus	\$ 42,569	\$ 55,600	130.6%	\$ 45,123	81.2%	106.0%	Cap
Sutter	\$ 7,095	\$ 7,878	111.0%	\$ 7,520	95.5%	106.0%	Cap
Tehama	\$ 3,000	\$ 2,976	99.2%	\$ 3,000	100.8%	100.0%	Minimum
Trinity	\$ 3,000	\$ 405	13.5%	\$ 3,000	740.7%	100.0%	Minimum
Tulare	\$ 28,379	\$ 41,602	146.6%	\$ 30,081	72.3%	106.0%	Cap
Tuolumne	\$ 4,966	\$ 1,698	34.2%	\$ 4,668	274.9%	94.0%	HH
Ventura	\$ 69,530	\$ 65,971	94.9%	\$ 65,776	99.7%	94.6%	Formula
Yolo	\$ 15,609	\$ 11,786	75.5%	\$ 14,672	124.5%	94.0%	HH
Yuba	\$ 5,675	\$ 6,254	110.2%	\$ 6,015	96.2%	106.0%	Cap
Total	\$6,649,342	\$6,650,980		\$ 6,674,612			

1	No Case County/City
9	Unadjusted Formula County/City
16	Funding Cap County/City
8	Minimum Allocation County/City
27	Hold Harmless County/City
61	Total Counties/Cities

