

CDPH SNF QASP Quarterly Benchmark Rates SFY 2015-16 Quarter 1 through Quarter 4

Overview

This report contains the results and statistics for the California Skilled Nursing Facility (SNF) Quality and Accountability Supplemental Program (QASP) measures using the final, closed out data for State Fiscal Year (SFY) July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016. The measure rates are calculated across the Performance Period that is evaluated annually to determine incentive payment awards. Four quarters are provided, including SFY Q1, SFY Q2, SFY Q3, SFY Q4, and an aggregate rate, in this report. Table 1 provides an overview of the measures analyzed, and the statewide mean and the 75th percentile, for the aggregate rates for the four quarters. Of note, only facilities meeting the minimum reporting requirements are included in the analysis for the quarterly and aggregate rate calculation for each measure. In addition, the 30-day SNF Rehospitalization and Staff Retention measures' rates are only calculated annually, and therefore, are only presented in a report that encompasses all four quarters.

Table 1—Overview of Measures and Rates (SFY 2015-16 Q1 to Q4)

Measure	Statewide Mean	Statewide 75th Percentile	
Facility-Acquired Pressure Ulcer Incidence (Long Stay)	3.461%	1.695%	
Use of Physical Restraints (Long Stay)	0.850%	0.000%	
Influenza Vaccination (Short Stay)*	84.190%	95.732%	
Pneumococcal Vaccination (Short Stay)*	82.709%	96.179%	
Urinary Tract Infection (Long Stay)	3.152%	1.135%	
Loss of Bowel or Bladder Control (Long Stay)	45.802%	35.052%	
Self-Report Moderate to Severe Pain (Short Stay)	10.741%	3.595%	
Self-Report Moderate to Severe Pain (Long Stay)	5.064%	0.917%	
Increased Need for Help with Activities of Daily Living (Long Stay)	11.146%	6.615%	
30-day SNF Rehospitalization	16.683%	13.933%	
Staff Retention	73.495%	83.333%	

^{*} For the Influenza Vaccination (Short Stay) measure, the Pneumococcal Vaccination (Short Stay) measure, and the staff retention measure, a higher rate indicates better performance.

A minimum threshold (i.e., minimum denominator size) of 20 and 30 was applied to the MDS clinical short and long stay measures, respectively, in order to report the measures. The detailed methodology can be found in the Analysis Plan.

A minimum threshold of 30 and a tracking rate of greater than or equal to 95 percent was applied to the 30-day SNF Rehospitalization measure. Also, a rate for the staff retention measure was captured for all facilities (i.e., no minimum threshold was applied). The detailed methodology for calculating these measures can be found on the CDPH SNF Quality and Accountability Program website.



The table below displays the performance period each quarter represents in this report.

Quarter	Performance Period
SFY 2015-16 Q1	July 1, 2015 – September 30, 2015
SFY 2015-16 Q2	October 1, 2015 – December 31, 2015
SFY 2015-16 Q3	January 1, 2016 – March 31, 2016
SFY 2015-16 Q4	April 1, 2016 – June 30, 2016

A greater number of facilities may be ineligible for reporting a quality measure within an individual quarter due to the minimum denominator thresholds; however, the facilities might be eligible for reporting the quality measure for the SNF QASP Annual Report (i.e., meet the minimum denominator threshold when all 4 quarters were combined). The facilities with fewer residents are more likely to have extreme rates, as a change of one resident in the numerator will have a larger impact on the rate.

Facility-Acquired Pressure Ulcer Incidence (Long Stay)

Figure 1 shows the rate distribution for the Facility-Acquired Pressure Ulcer Incidence (Long Stay) measure for all four quarters and the aggregate, in 5-percent intervals. Each interval includes all facilities whose score is at or above the lower interval and less than the higher interval. Note that for the Facility-Acquired Pressure Ulcer Incidence (Long Stay) measure, a lower rate indicates better performance.

Figure 1—Facility-Acquired Pressure Ulcer Incidence (Long Stay)






Table 2 shows the number of facilities evaluated, percentile distribution, mean, minimum, and maximum rate for the Facility-Acquired Pressure Ulcer Incidence (Long Stay) measure.

Table 2—Facility-Acquired Pressure Ulcer Incidence (Long Stay)

Performance Period	Number of Facilities	10th Percentile	25th Percentile	50th Percentile	75th Percentile	90th Percentile	Mean	Minimum Rate	Maximum Rate
SFY Q1	867	8.081%	5.405%	3.125%	1.370%	0.000%	3.720%	0.000%	29.310%
SFY Q2	865	7.692%	5.263%	3.125%	1.626%	0.000%	3.718%	0.000%	25.926%
SFY Q3	866	8.163%	5.479%	2.941%	1.471%	0.000%	3.733%	0.000%	22.500%
SFY Q4	861	7.895%	5.000%	2.564%	0.000%	0.000%	3.305%	0.000%	23.214%
Aggregate	1,031	6.757%	4.819%	2.985%	1.695%	0.568%	3.461%	0.000%	13.929%



Use of Physical Restraints (Long Stay)

Figure 2 shows the rate distribution for the Use of Physical Restraints (Long Stay) measure for all four quarters and the aggregate, in 5-percent intervals. Each interval includes all facilities whose score is at or above the lower interval and less than the higher interval. Note that for the Use of Physical Restraints (Long Stay) measure, a lower rate indicates better performance.




Figure 2—Use of Physical Restraints (Long Stay)

Table 3 shows the number of facilities evaluated, percentile distribution, mean, minimum, and maximum rate for the Use of Physical Restraints (Long Stay) measure.

Performance Number of 10th 25th 50th 75th 90th Minimum Maximum Mean Period **Facilities** Percentile **Percentile Percentile Percentile Percentile** Rate Rate SFY Q1 1.030 3.371% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 1.141% 0.000% 49.333% SFY Q2 1,023 3.279% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 1.079% 0.000% 50.000% SFY Q3 1,020 2.410% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.970% 0.000% 48.684% SFY Q4 1.019 2.439% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.000% 0.880% 0.000% 47.500% 1,051 0.412% 0.000% Aggregate 2.206% 0.000% 0.000% 0.850% 0.000% 48.882%

Table 3—Use of Physical Restraints (Long Stay)



Influenza Vaccination (Short Stay)

Figure 3 shows the rate distribution for the Influenza Vaccination (Short Stay) measure for all four quarters and the aggregate, in 5-percent intervals. Each interval includes all facilities whose score is above the lower interval and at or below the higher interval. Note that for the Influenza Vaccination (Short Stay) measure, a higher rate indicates better performance.




Figure 3—Influenza Vaccination (Short Stay)

Table 4 shows the number of facilities evaluated, percentile distribution, mean, minimum, and maximum rate for the Influenza Vaccination (Short Stay) measure.

Performance Number of 10th 75th 50th 90th **Minimum** Maximum Mean Period **Facilities** Percentile **Percentile Percentile Percentile Percentile** Rate Rate SFY Q1 844 55.814% 76.316% 89.443% 96.774% 99.457% 82.455% 3.030% 100.00% SFY Q2 967 57.658% 75.745% 87.692% 95.402% 99.029% 82.308% 2.326% 100.00% SFY Q3 1,009 63.889% 80.952% 91.045% 96.610% 99.405% 0.000% 100.00% 85.648% SFY Q4 959 67.925% 83.495% 93.846% 98.413% 100.00% 87.879% 0.000% 100.00% 1,023 78.519% 95.732% Aggregate 61.871% 89.112% 98.667% 84.190% 1.681% 100.00%

Table 4—Influenza Vaccination (Short Stay)



Pneumococcal Vaccination (Short Stay)

Figure 4 shows the rate distribution for the Pneumococcal Vaccination (Short Stay) measure for all four quarters and the aggregate, in 5-percent intervals. Each interval includes all facilities whose score is above the lower interval and at or below the higher interval. Note that for the Pneumococcal Vaccination (Short Stay) measure, a higher rate indicates better performance.




Figure 4—Pneumococcal Vaccination (Short Stay)

Table 5 shows the number of facilities evaluated, percentile distribution, mean, minimum, and maximum rate for the Pneumococcal Vaccination (Short Stay) measure.

Performance Number of 10th 50th 75th 90th Minimum Maximum Mean Period **Facilities** Percentile **Percentile Percentile Percentile Percentile** Rate Rate SFY Q1 1.005 52.128% 75.000% 88.119% 96.364% 99.451% 81.509% 0.000% 100.00% 1,000 SFY Q2 53.887% 75.119% 88.889% 96.637% 99.319% 82.035% 0.000% 100.00% SFY Q3 1,014 78.788% 97.391% 99.795% 0.000% 100.00% 58.333% 90.887% 84.608% SFY Q4 1.017 61.029% 81.250% 92.174% 97.917% 100.00% 85.879% 0.000% 100.00% Aggregate 1,044 76.923% 96.179% 99.044% 82.709% 55.000% 88.753% 1.807% 100.00%

Table 5—Pneumococcal Vaccination (Short Stay)



Urinary Tract Infection (Long Stay)

Figure 5 shows the rate distribution for the Urinary Tract Infection (Long Stay) measure for all four quarters and the aggregate, in 5-percent intervals. Each interval includes all facilities whose score is at or above the lower interval and less than the higher interval. Note that for the Urinary Tract Infection (Long Stay) measure, a lower rate indicates better performance.




Figure 5—Urinary Tract Infection (Long Stay)

Table 6 shows the number of facilities evaluated, percentile distribution, mean, minimum, and maximum rate for the Urinary Tract Infection (Long Stay) measure.

Performance Number of 10th 75th 50th 90th **Minimum** Maximum Mean Period **Facilities** Percentile **Percentile Percentile Percentile Percentile** Rate Rate SFY Q1 1.025 8.247% 5.405% 2.667% 0.820% 0.000% 3.572% 0.000% 26.667% 1,020 SFY Q2 8.054% 4.791% 2.326% 0.000% 0.000% 3.164% 0.000% 20.968% SFY Q3 1,013 6.977% 4.545% 2.326% 0.000% 0.000% 3.006% 0.000% 23.288% SFY Q4 1.015 6.977% 4.545% 2.000% 0.000% 0.000% 2.810% 0.000% 21.212% 1,049 4.485% 2.525% Aggregate 6.818% 1.135% 0.330% 3.152% 0.000% 19.266%

Table 6—Urinary Tract Infection (Long Stay)



Loss of Bowel or Bladder Control (Long Stay)

Figure 6 shows the rate distribution for the Loss of Bowel or Bladder Control (Long Stay) measure for all four quarters and the aggregate, in 5-percent intervals. Each interval includes all facilities whose score is at or above the lower interval and less than the higher interval. Note that for the Loss of Bowel or Bladder Control (Long Stay) measure, a lower rate indicates better performance.




Figure 6—Loss of Bowel or Bladder Control (Long Stay)

Table 7 shows the number of facilities evaluated, percentile distribution, mean, minimum, and maximum rate for the Loss of Bowel or Bladder Control (Long Stay) measure.

		Tubic 7	2000 0: 20	WCI OI BIG			7 10. 7 7		
Performance Period	Number of Facilities	10th Percentile	25th Percentile	50th Percentile	75th Percentile	90th Percentile	Mean	Minimum Rate	Maximum Rate
SFY Q1	417	65.909%	54.839%	43.243%	31.707%	20.000%	42.648%	0.000%	87.179%
SFY Q2	429	66.667%	55.556%	42.857%	30.303%	19.318%	42.686%	0.000%	86.667%
SFY Q3	401	65.625%	54.839%	43.333%	31.250%	18.750%	42.622%	0.000%	88.571%
SFY Q4	393	64.865%	53.846%	43.333%	29.730%	17.647%	41.730%	0.000%	84.848%
Aggregate	975	66.327%	57.143%	45.695%	35.052%	25.316%	45.802%	0.000%	97.143%

Table 7—Loss of Bowel or Bladder Control (Long Stay)



Self-Report Moderate to Severe Pain (Short Stay)

Figure 7 shows the rate distribution for the Self-Report Moderate to Severe Pain (Short Stay) measure for all four quarters and the aggregate, in 5-percent intervals. Each interval includes all facilities whose score is at or above the lower interval and less than the higher interval. Note that for the Self-Report Moderate to Severe Pain (Short Stay) measure, a lower rate indicates better performance.




Figure 7—Self-Report Moderate to Severe Pain (Short Stay)

Table 8 shows the number of facilities evaluated, percentile distribution, mean, minimum, and maximum rate for the Self-Report Moderate to Severe Pain (Short Stay) measure.

Performance Number of 10th 50th 90th 75th **Minimum** Maximum Mean Period **Facilities** Percentile **Percentile Percentile Percentile Percentile** Rate Rate SFY Q1 929 27.143% 17.333% 9.412% 3.529% 0.339% 12.015% 0.000% 59.375% SFY Q2 911 25.131% 17.436% 8.738% 3.077% 0.000% 11.289% 0.000% 63.846% SFY O3 927 24.138% 15.686% 8.058% 2.439% 0.000% 0.000% 10.413% 75.000% SFY Q4 925 22.222% 14.433% 7.097% 1.967% 0.000% 9.543% 0.000% 71.134% Aggregate 1,017 15.719% 23.699% 8.553% 3.595% 0.909% 10.741% 0.000% 51.515%

Table 8—Self-Report Moderate to Severe Pain (Short Stay)



Self-Report Moderate to Severe Pain (Long Stay)

Figure 8 shows the rate distribution for the Self-Report Moderate to Severe Pain (Long Stay) measure for all four quarters and the aggregate, in 5-percent intervals. Each interval includes all facilities whose score is at or above the lower interval and less than the higher interval. Note that for the Self-Report Moderate to Severe Pain (Long Stay) measure, a lower rate indicates better performance.




Figure 8—Self-Report Moderate to Severe Pain (Long Stay)

Table 9 shows the number of facilities evaluated, percentile distribution, mean, minimum, and maximum rate for the Self-Report Moderate to Severe Pain (Long Stay) measure.

Performance Number of 10th 50th 90th 75th **Minimum** Maximum Mean Period **Facilities** Percentile **Percentile Percentile Percentile Percentile** Rate Rate SFY Q1 883 14.433% 8.511% 3.704% 0.901% 0.000% 5.815% 0.000% 62.162% SFY Q2 881 13.514% 7.843% 3.333% 0.000% 0.000% 5.417% 0.000% 69.444% SFY O3 884 12.766% 6.857% 2.985% 0.000% 0.000% 4.939% 0.000% 57.895% SFY Q4 887 12.500% 6.667% 2.703% 0.000% 0.000% 4.727% 0.000% 55.000% Aggregate 1,037 7.429% 3.425% 0.917% 12.174% 0.000% 5.064% 0.000% 52.273%

Table 9—Self-Report Moderate to Severe Pain (Long Stay)



Increased Need for Help with Activities of Daily Living (Long Stay)

Figure 9 shows the rate distribution for the Increased Need for Help with Activities of Daily Living (ADL) (Long Stay) measure for all four quarters and the aggregate, in 5-percent intervals. Each interval includes all facilities whose score is at or above the lower interval and less than the higher interval. Note that for the Increased Need for Help with ADL (Long Stay) measure, a lower rate indicates better performance.




Figure 9—Increased Need for Help with ADL (Long Stay)

Table 10 shows the number of facilities evaluated, percentile distribution, mean, minimum, and maximum rate for the Increased Need for Help with ADL (Long Stay) measure.

		ubic 10	mercasea	riced for i	Telp With	7101 (20118	July		
Performance Period	Number of Facilities	10th Percentile	25th Percentile	50th Percentile	75th Percentile	90th Percentile	Mean	Minimum Rate	Maximum Rate
SFY Q1	912	20.635%	15.152%	9.848%	5.792%	2.564%	11.007%	0.000%	54.286%
SFY Q2	913	20.588%	14.815%	9.375%	5.600%	2.778%	10.874%	0.000%	62.162%
SFY Q3	923	21.429%	15.789%	10.156%	6.000%	2.703%	11.427%	0.000%	44.444%
SFY Q4	916	20.000%	14.445%	9.354%	5.357%	2.439%	10.440%	0.000%	41.935%
Aggregate	1,039	19.322%	14.355%	10.406%	6.615%	4.167%	11.146%	0.000%	37.063%

Table 10—Increased Need for Help with ADL (Long Stay)



30-Day SNF Rehospitalization Measure

Figure 10 shows the rate distribution for the 30-day SNF Rehospitalization measure for SFY 2015-2016 in 5-percent intervals. Each interval includes all facilities whose score is at or above the lower interval and less than the higher interval. Note that for the 30-day SNF re-hospitalization measure, a lower rate indicates better performance. This measure is only calculated on an annual basis, and the results are limited to facilities included in the SFY 2015-2016 Annual Report.




Figure 10—30-Day SNF Rehospitalization

Table 11 shows the number of facilities evaluated, percentile distribution, mean, minimum, and maximum rate for the 30-day SNF Rehospitalization measure for SFY 2015-2016.

Table 11—30-Day SNF Rehospitalization

Performance Period	Number of Facilities	10th Percentile	25th Percentile	50th Percentile	75th Percentile	90th Percentile	Mean	Minimum Rate	Maximum Rate
SFY Q1 - Q4	938	22.182%	19.302%	16.428%	13.933%	11.162%	16.683%	4.888%	35.332%



Staff Retention Measure

Figure 11 shows the rate distribution for the Staff Retention measure for SFY 2015-2016 in 5-percent intervals. Each interval includes all facilities whose score is above the lower interval and at or below the higher interval. Note that for the staff retention measure, a higher rate indicates better performance. This measure is only calculated on an annual basis, and the results are limited to facilities included in the SFY 2015-2016 Annual Report.




Figure 11—Staff Retention

Table 12 shows the number of facilities evaluated, percentile distribution, mean, minimum, and maximum rate for the Staff Retention measure for SFY 2015-2016.

Table 12—Staff Retention

Performance Period	Number of Facilities	10th Percentile	25th Percentile	50th Percentile	75th Percentile	90th Percentile	Mean	Minimum Rate	Maximum Rate
SFY Q1 - Q4	1,019	54.839%	64.583%	75.510%	83.333%	88.525%	73.495%	24.390%	100.00%